*The Journal of Rural and Agricultural Research Volume 24 No. 1, 49-53 (2024) Received March 2024; Acceptance May 2024* 

# Effect of silicon application and irrigation scheduling on productivity and profitability of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)

RAJVIR SINGH, RAKESH KUMAR<sup>1</sup>, AKHILESH CHANDRA SINGH<sup>2</sup>, SATENDRA BABU<sup>3</sup>, JITENDRA KUMAR<sup>4</sup>, KARAN SINGH<sup>1</sup> AND VIRENDRA SINGH<sup>4\*</sup>

Dept. of Agronomy, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri, Agra (Afflited with, Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra)

\*Corresponding address Email: vs5569206@gmail.com

### Abstract

Investigation entitled "Effect of silicon application and irrigation scheduling on productivity and profitability of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.)." using Silicon' was carried out in Rabi season of 2020-21 at Agricultural Research Farm, Deptt. of Agronomy, R.B.S. College Bichpuri, Agra. The variables involved in this study were four levels of irrigation viz. no irrigation( $I_1$ ), one irrigation at 30-35 DAS ( $I_2$ ), two irrigations at 30-35 and 80-85 DAS ( $I_3$ ) and three irrigations at 30-35, 60-65 and 90-95 DAS ( $I_4$ ) along with four treatments of silicon application viz. 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>( $S_4$ ), 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>( $S_3$ ), 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $S_2$ ) and control ( $S_1$ ). Thus, in all 16 treatment combinations were compared in a 'split plot design' having levels of irrigation in main plots and silicon in sub-plots with three replications. The results reveled that silicon had significant positive effect on all morphological characters of Barley plant. Among all the treatments, silicon application (a) 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> showed significantly better plant growth and yield as compared to other lower levels and control. On the basis of maximum net return and B:C ratio barley crop grown with three irrigations along with application of silicon (a) 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> may be recommended for the farmers of Agra region.

Key words: Barley, Drought-resistant, Irrigation, Productivity, Profitability and Silicon,

## Introduction

The limited availability of water resources in arid and semi-arid regions poses a significant challenge to sustainable agriculture, with drought stress projected to cause up to a 30% reduction in global crop production by 2025. Barley, a droughtresistant crop rich in nutrients, is extensively

- <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Dept. of Agronomy, K.A.P.G, College Prayagraj (U.P.)
- <sup>3</sup>Assistant professor, Dept. of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, Utter Pradesh (Rajarshi Tandon Open University, Prayagraj U.P.)
- <sup>4</sup>Ph.D. Research Scholar, Dept. of Agronomy, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri, Agra (Afflited with, Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra)

cultivated in arid areas due to its stress tolerance and nutritional value. Barley serves as both human food and animal feed, with a substantial portion of India's barley production used for cattle feed and industrial purposes like beer and whisky production. (Sánchez-Díaz *et al.* 2002). Drought stress impacts barley growth and yield by affecting various physiological and biochemical processes, particularly during critical growth stages like spike emergence and grain development. Utilizing nano-fertilizers, particularly those containing silicon, can enhance nutrient efficiency, reduce environmental pollution, and mitigate the negative effects of over-fertilization, offering a sustainable solution for agriculture in water-stressed regions and contributing to global

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>M.Sc. Student, Deptt. of Agronomy, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri, Agra

food security through improved crop productivity. (Temel *et al.*, 2017).

Silicon, as an essential element for higher plants, plays a crucial role in enhancing plant tolerance to various abiotic stresses. Silicon fertilizer offers a dual benefit to the soil-plant system by improving plant-silicon nutrition, thereby reinforcing plant defenses against diseases, pests, and adverse climatic conditions, and by optimizing soil fertility through enhanced water retention, improved soil structure, and nutrient availability. Epstein and Bloom (2005), Despite the ubiquitous presence of silicon in soil, its essentiality in higher plants has been established, with silicon-deprived plants exhibiting abnormal growth compared to silicon-supplemented plants. Additionally, excess silicon does not harm plants and has been found to mitigate both biotic and abiotic stresses such as salinity, drought, heavy metal toxicity, and high temperatures. Studies have demonstrated that silicon application can enhance plant tolerance to salinity and drought, offering a practical approach to increasing crop yield in challenging environments. Furthermore, the use of silicon can reduce the need for irrigation, thereby helping to prevent soil salinization. Silicon fertilizer is considered a high-quality, environmentally friendly option for promoting sustainable and ecologically sound agricultural practices.(Bauer et al. 2011).

## **Materials and Methods**

The field experiment was carried out during Rabi season of 2020-21 at Agricultural Research Farm, Deptt. of Agronomy, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri, Agra (U.P.). The variables involved in this study were four levels of irrigation viz. no irrigation (I,), one irrigation at 30-35 DAS (I<sub>2</sub>), two irrigations at 30-35 and 80-85 DAS  $(I_3)$  and three irrigations at 30-35, 60-65 and 90-95 DAS ( $I_{4}$ ) along with four treatments of silicon application viz. 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>(S<sub>4</sub>), 150 kg ha<sup>-</sup>  $^{1}(S_{2})$ , 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>2</sub>) and control (S<sub>1</sub>). Thus, in all 16 treatment combinations were compared in a 'split pilot design' having levels of irrigation in main plots and silicon in sub-plots with three replications.. Full dose of Nitrogen (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (30kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $K_2O(20 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  in only control plots i.e.  $I_1$  (without irrigation) as basal dressing at the time of sowing. In rest of the plots half amount of recommended nitrogen (30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), 30 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 kg  $K_{2}O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> through DAP (46% P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub>+18% N), Urea (46% N) and MOP (60% K<sub>2</sub>O) were applied at the time of sowing as basal dressing. The remaining half amount (30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of Nitrogen was applied as top dressing through urea after first irrigation.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Growth and Development

According to Table 1 in, the results for the yield attributes of the barley crop as influenced by

Table 1: Yield attributes of barley	y crop as influenced by	v irrigation levels and	d silicon application
•	/ 1 .		11

Treatments	Stand	Ear	Length of	No. of spikel	ets No. of fertile	No. of grains	1000 grain
	count/m <sup>2</sup>	head/m <sup>2</sup>	spike(cm)	spike <sup>-1</sup>	spikelets spike-1	spike <sup>-1</sup>	weight(g)
Irrigation leve	ls						
I, Č	273.66	256.16	4.02	40.28	36.76	31.28	27.52
I <sub>2</sub>	298.19	283.94	5.10	48.22	45.50	37.50	38.02
$I_2^2$	329.46	313.02	6.50	50.87	49.12	46.38	39.40
I <sup>3</sup>	344.68	332.04	8.03	53.55	52.19	48.10	40.39
ŚĒm±	1.50	0.60	0.17	0.65	1.03	0.38	0.25
CD(p=0.05)	4.12	1.65	0.48	1.78	2.83	1.04	0.70
Silicon applica	tion						
S,	301.56	288.31	5.44	46.09	43.49	33.21	33.20
$\mathbf{S}_{2}^{1}$	310.92	294.03	5.78	47.66	45.56	39.50	38.38
$\mathbf{S}_{2}^{2}$	313.11	299.16	6.10	48.77	46.85	43.10	39.40
S <sup>3</sup>	320.41	303.66	6.33	50.40	48.82	46.22	40.23
SĒm±	1.42	0.76	0.07	0.41	0.58	0.44	0.22
CD(p=0.05)	3.44	1.83	0.17	1.00	1.41	1.06	0.53

irrigation levels and silicon application are as follows, Effect of irrigation levels three irrigations ( $I_4$ ) at 30-35, 60-65 and 90-95 DAS resulted in significantly higher values for stand count/m<sup>2</sup>, earheads/m<sup>2</sup>, length of spike, number of spikelets/spike, number of fertile spikelets/spike, number of grains/spike, and 1000 grain weight compared to other irrigation levels.

The values for these parameters decreased with decreasing number of irrigations, with the lowest values observed in the no irrigation (I<sub>1</sub>) treatment. Grain yield is the combined effect of length of spike, number of grains spike, weight of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-grain weight. These characters were maximum with three irrigations and they were significantly higher over all other levels of irrigation under test. One or more characters among number of spikes per metre<sup>2</sup>, length of spike, number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, grain weight spike<sup>-1</sup> were significantly increased with three irrigations have also been reported by Galav and Bharos (2017), Tomar, *et al.* (2016) and Singh *et. al* (2018).

Application of silicon at 200 kg/ha ( $S_4$ ) resulted in significantly higher values for stand count/ m<sup>2</sup>, earheads/m<sup>2</sup>, length of spike, number of spikelets/ spike, number of fertile spikelets/spike, number of grains/spike, and 1000 grain weight compared to lower levels of silicon and the control ( $S_1$ ). The values for these parameters decreased with decreasing levels of silicon application, with the lowest values observed in the control treatment without silicon application. In summary, the best yield attributes were observed with three irrigations and silicon application at 200 kg/ha, indicating the positive effects of both irrigation and silicon supplementation on the growth and development of the barley crop. The results are in close proximity with the results obtained by Maghsoudi *et al.*, (2016) and Behboudi *et al.*, (2018).

## Yield Studies

Three irrigations  $(I_{4})$  at 30-35, 60-65, and 90-95 DAS recorded significantly higher biological yield, grain yield, and straw yield compared to two irrigations (I<sub>2</sub>), one irrigation (I<sub>2</sub>), and no irrigation (I<sub>1</sub>). The respective increase in biological yield with three irrigations was 16.03%, 35.25%, and 83.62% over two irrigations, one irrigation, and no irrigation, respectively.Grain yield with three irrigations was 54.17 g/ha, which was an increase of 16.95%, 38.15%, and 89.54% over two irrigations, one irrigation, and no irrigation, respectively. The maximum straw yield was recorded with three irrigations, and it was appreciably higher compared to no irrigation, one irrigation, and two irrigations. Every increase in the number of irrigations increased the harvest index appreciably, with three irrigations having a significantly higher harvest index than two,

Table 2: Yield of barley crop as influenced by irrigation levels and silicon application

Treatments	Biological yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
Irrigation levels				
I	71.02	28 58	42.44	40.23
I.	96.42	39.21	57.21	40.65
$I_{2}$	112.39	46.32	66.07	41.21
$I_{4}^{3}$	130.41	54.17	76.24	41.54
ŚĖm±	1.48	0.60	0.89	0.06
CD (p=0.05)	4.08	1.64	2.45	0.16
Silicon application				
S <sub>1</sub>	94.02	38.43	55.59	40.74
$\mathbf{S}_{2}^{'}$	100.41	41.09	59.33	40.79
$S_3^2$	105.80	43.52	62.28	41.02
$\mathbf{S}_{A}^{\mathbf{J}}$	110.00	45.24	64.77	41.06
SĒm±	2.17	0.90	1.27	0.06
CD (p=0.05)	5.26	2.18	3.08	0.15

Treatments	Gross income (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net income (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:Cratio
I,S,	70701	35575	35126	1.99
$I_1 S_2$	73477	37075	36402	1.98
$I_{1}^{1}S_{2}^{2}$	75920	37825	38095	2.01
$I_1 S_4$	77730	38575	39155	2.02
	81761	37475	44286	2.18
$I_2 S_2$	84537	38975	45562	2.17
$I_2 S_3$	86980	39725	47255	2.19
$I_2 S_4$	88789	40475	48314	2.19
	88954	39375	49579	2.26
$I_3S_2$	91730	40875	50855	2.24
$I_3S_3^2$	94173	41625	52548	2.26
$I_3S_4$	95983	42375	53608	2.27
$I_{4}S_{1}$	96974	41275	55699	2.35
$I_4 S_2$	99750	42775	56975	2.33
$I_4S_3$	102193	43525	58668	2.35
$I_4 S_4$	104002	44275	59727	2.35

Table 3: Economics of barley crop (Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) as influenced by irrigation levels and silicon application

one, and no irrigation. The results are in close proximity with the results obtained by Bahadur *et al.* (2013), Tomar *et al.*, (2016) and Galav *et al.*, (2017).

Application of silicon at 200 kg/ha  $(S_{4})$ produced a significantly higher biological yield by 9.55% and 17.00% compared to 100 kg/ha and the control, respectively, while it was at par with 150 kg/ha. The application of silicon at 200 kg/ha (S<sub>1</sub>) and 150 kg/ha (S<sub>2</sub>) produced grain yields of 45.24 and 43.52 g/ha, respectively, which were significantly higher compared to 100 kg/ha and the control. The application of silicon at 200 kg/ha ( $S_4$ ) and 150 kg/ ha (S<sub>2</sub>) produced significantly higher straw yields compared to 100 kg/ha and the control. The application of silicon increased the harvest index considerably over the control, with 200 kg/ha and 150 kg/ha being at par. The results are in close proximity with the results obtained by Martin et al., (2017), and Hellala et al. (2020).

## Economic analysis of barley

The economic feasibility of different agronomic practices is usually a deciding factor for its adoption by the farmers for commercialization of any crop production program. It is, therefore, of common interest to calculate the effect of different treatments taken in this study on the yield of barley. Economic efficiency of various treatments taken in this study was worked out on the basis of net return.

The regional adaptability of any agronomic practice in the cultivation of any crop is completely based on maximum economic value of treatments. Based on the cost analysis, highest net profit of Rs. 59727 ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table-3) was obtained from application of silicon @ 200 kg ha-1 with three irrigation followed by application of silicon @ 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with three irrigation gave net return of Rs. 58668 ha-1. Maximum B:C ratio (2.35) was noted with application of silicon @ 200 kg ha-1 with three irrigations but it was same with 150 kg silicon ha-1 and no silicon with three irrigation. Additional benefit with each rupee invested in these cases is due to less investment. The similar results have also been reported by Rao et al., (2018), Kumar et al., (2019), Sharma et al. (2020) and Prajapat et al. (2022). Conclusion

Based upon the results, barley crop grown with three irrigations at 30-35, 60-65 and 90-95 DAS along with the application of silicon at 200 kg/ha showed significantly better growth, yield attributes (stand count, earheads, spike length, spikelets, grains per spike, 1000-grain weight), biological yield, grain yield, and straw yield and economic analysis (net return Rs. 59,727 ha<sup>-1</sup>, benefit-cost ratio 2.35) although the B:C ratio was the same as silicon at 150 kg/ha and no silicon application with three irrigations compared to other treatment combinations.

## References

- Bahadur, M.M.; Paul, N.K. and Chowdhury, M.F. (2013). Effect of irrigation levels on growth and yield of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Journal of Science and Technology* 6:11.
- Bauer, P.; Elbaum, R. and Weiss I.M. (2011). Calcium and silicon mineralization in land plants: transport, structure and function. Plant Sci 180:746–756.
- Behboudi, F.; Tahmasebi Sarvestani, Z.; Kassaee, M.Z.; Modares Sanavi, S.A.M.; Sorooshzadeh, A. and Ahmadi, S.B. (2018). Evaluation of chitosan nanoparticles effects on yield and yield components of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under late season drought stress. J. of Water and Environmental Nanotechnology 3(1):22-39.
- Epstein, E. and Bloom, A.J. (2005). Mineral nutrition of plants: principles and perspectives, 2nd edn. Sinauer, Sunderland.
- Galav, A. and Bharose, R. (2017). Effect of dates of sowing, varieties, irrigation schedule and agro meteorological indices on growth and yield attributes of barley varieties under Allahabad conditions. J. of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 5(6): 1363-1366.
- Hellala, F.; Amerb, A.K.; El-Sayeda, S. and El-Azabb, K. (2020). Mitigating the negative effect of water stress on barley by nano silica application. *Plant Archives* 20(1): 3224-3231.
- Kumar, A., Tyagi, S., Dubey, S.K. and Kumar, S.S. 2019. Effect of levels of irrigation and nitrogen on growth, yield and nitrogen uptake in barley: Effect of levels of irrigation and nitrogen on barley. *Journal* of Agri Search 6(1):16-20.
- Maghsoudi, K., Emam, Y. and Ashraf, M. 2016. Foliar application of silicon at different growth stages alters growth and yield of selected wheat cultivars. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 39(8):1194-1203.

- Martin, T.N.; Nunes, U.R.; Stecca, J.D.L. and Pahins, D.B. (2017). Foliar application of silicon on yield components of wheat crop. *Revista Caatinga* 30(3):578-585.
- Prajapat, B.S.; Kaushik, M.K.; Sharma, S.K.; Chaudhary, R. and Bairwa, D.S.D.D., (2022). Effect of Active Silica on Growth and Profitability of Maize under Organic Farming. *Indian Journal* of Ecology 49(1):124-128.
- Rao, G.B.; PI, P.Y. and Syriac, E.K. (2018). Effect of silicon fertilization on yield attributing factors, yield and economics of rice cultivation. J. of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 7(2):1381-1383.
- Sánchez-Díaz, M.; García, J.; Antolín, M. and Araus, J. (2002). Effects of soil drought and atmospheric humidity on yield, gas exchange, and stable carbon isotope composition of barley. *Photosynthetica*, 40(3), 415–421.
- Sharma, R. and Verma, R. (2010). Effect of irrigation, nitrogen and varieties on the productivity and grain malting quality in barley. *Cereal Res. Communications*, **38** (3):419-428.
- Singh, T.; Singh, N.B.; Kumar, P. and Singh, S. (2018). Effect of different irrigation and fertility levels on dynamic growth and yield of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 6 (1):1523-1528.
- Temel, A.; Janack, B. and Humbeck, K. (2017). Drought Stress-Related Physiological Changes and Histone Modifications in Barley Primary Leaves at HSP17 Gene. *Agron 7(2): 43*.
- Tomar, J.; Kumar, P.; Kumar, A.; Kumar, A.; Kumar, S. and Kushwaha, S.R. (2016). Influence of irrigation schedules and fertilizer levels on barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) in western Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 61(4):515-518.